UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/576,010 | 08/06/2007 | Paul Ross | 9008-1004 | 8808 |
| 466 YOUNG & TH | 7590 05/27/201 OMPSON | EXAMINER | | |
| 209 Madison Street | | | WARE, DEBORAH K | |
| Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1651 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/27/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/576,010 | ROSS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DEBBIE K. WARE | 1651 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| · | , | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-51</u> is/are pending in the application | ☑ Claim(s) <u>28-51</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | is)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | , , | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | priority under 35 LLC C S 110(c) | (d) or (f) | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| ·— ·— ·— | a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) The part (s) (PTO/SB/08) The part (s) (PTO/SB/08) The part (s) (PTO/SB/08) The part (s) (PTO/SB/08) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 28-34, drawn to methods for treatment of infectious diseases of the skin comprising administering a freeze-dried preparation of a live culture of a probiotic or the live culture.

Group II, claim(s) 35-44, drawn to method for treating infectious diseases of skin comprising administering a supernatant to stimulate PMN cells and supernatant, therefore.

Group III, claim(s) 45-51, drawn to a pharmaceutical comprising a carrier or diluent and method of treating a subject at risk of developing infectious diseases including urinary tract and wounds, comprising administering the pharmaceutical whereby an accelerated improvement in the quality of milk from cows.

Art Unit: 1651

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The Groups each comprise separate and distinct claim features of which allows the methods to be carried out to treat separate and distinct areas using different and distinct products, wherein a live culture or freeze dried form, thereof, is used to treat mastitis and skin, a pharmaceutical requiring a carrier not required of Group I, is used to treat in vivo infectious diseases such as urinary tract, not required by Group I or Group II and a supernatant is used to stimulate PMN cells as required of Group II, without any requirement for a carrier or diluent as required of Group III and not for Group I. While Groups I and II can both include a probiotic, the same of Group I is freeze dried and this is not required of Group II. Also Group II is drawn to a supernatant which does not require the probiotic to be present. The product of Group III does not require necessarily a probiotic nor a lactococcus strain of which may optionally be required of Groups I and II.

Each Group can comprise different methods of treatment and/or different products for carrying out the claimed independent methods. Each group does not require the same technical features since Group II can be a supernatant and stimulates PMN cells of which are not required to be stimulated by either of Groups I or III. Also Group III does not require the subject to be treated to be affected with an infectious disease. There are different and distinct special technical features required by each independent Group I-III.

Art Unit: 1651

The Groups do not share the same technical features as discussed above and furthermore, the probiotic is not necessarily required by either of Groups II or III since these claims read on the supernatant and administering a supernatant for treating infectious diseases. Furthermore, the probiotic of Group III is not necessarily the same probiotic as Groups I or II. In addition, the claims are not so limited to a Lactococcus strain. Also the supernatant used in the method of Group II does not require administering a freeze dried probiotic as described for Group I as described above.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEBBIE K. WARE whose telephone number is (571)272-0924. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,010 Page 6

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deborah K. Ware/ Deborah K. Ware Examiner Art Unit 1651